

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
. www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/835,328	04/17/2001	Desaraju V. Varaprasad	690.3 CIP/C/CIP/C2	7945	
5514 75	590 10/03/2002				
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAM	EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		LESTER, EVELYN A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873		

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/835,328	VARAPRASAD ET AL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Evelyn A. Lester	2873			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated by received by the Office later than three months after the main displacement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Applica	tion No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of Informat	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
IS Patent and T	and a mark Office					



Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,910,854. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to E.A. Lester whose telephone number is (703) 308-4943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from about 9:30 am to 6 pm (subject to an extended flex schedule).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps, can be reached on (703) 308-4883. The fax number for Technology Center 2800 is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the <u>Group receptionist</u> whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

September 30, 2002